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I want to begin this article by honouring those women who have the lived experience about which we, your allies and co-activists, presume to speak. I urge you to continue to unite and together to challenge and hold us accountable for all we say and do, not just here, but in our daily work and lives, especially when we try to describe or represent your realities.

Given the urgency we all feel, or should feel, about the increased criminalization of women and girls worldwide, my hope is that we will truly engage and work to correct what is fundamentally flawed and wrong about current attempts to reform and correct or change individual and/or groups of women, when it is the laws and policies within which we all work that are increasingly coming in to conflict with people, especially poor, racialized, and disabled women. We have no choice but to challenge our pre-conceptions and therefore our approaches, responsibilities, language—in short, everything, about how we are working and envisioning the future.

Women are the fastest growing prison population worldwide and this is not accidental (Correctional Investigator). In Canada, we recognize that our links to the United States has meant that we were amongst the first countries to be impacted by the now globalized capitalist lunges for cash and products, which are occasioning the destruction of social safety nets—from social and health services to economic and education standards and availability (CAEFS 2006a; Davis 2005). As a result, the Canadian Association of Elizabeth Fry Society’s (CAEFS) mission has shifted to acknowledge the reality that it is the conflicts in peoples’ lives, created by these more restrictive and invasive laws and policies, that are increasingly resulting in the virtual inevitability of criminalization for growing numbers of the most vulnerable and marginalized nationally and globally.

Although crime and incarceration rates are on the decline, the rates at which women are criminalized and imprisoned are on the increase (CAEFS 2006a; Balfour and Comack). Statistics Canada reports that although crime rates have been dropping since 1996, the fear of crime and the criminalization of women and girls have both increased (see CAEFS 2006a). In fact, worldwide, women are the fastest growing prison population. In Canada, this is especially true for Aboriginal and other racialized women, poor women, and women with disabling mental health issues (Arbour; Correctional Investigator). This phenomenon coincides with the government budget cuts of the mid-1990s.

The decline in basic support systems for Canadian women, combined with our amplified reliance on the use of imprisonment, has resulted in the increased criminalization of women, especially those who are racialized and those with mental health and intellectual disabilities (Mauer; Human Rights Watch; Martin). In fact, women are the fastest growing prison population worldwide and this is not accidental. In Canada, we recognize that the now globalized destruction of social safety nets—from social and health services to economic and education standards—is resulting in the increased abandonment of the most vulnerable, marginalized, and
oppressed. For example, it is incontrovertible at this stage, that since the 1996 elimination of the Canada Assistance Plan, we have witnessed in Canada the shredding of our social safety net.

In 2003, Canada was criticized by the United Nations Committee examining Canada’s record regarding the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women. Criticisms included: neglect of women, particularly with regards to social welfare, poverty, immigration policy; the treatment of Aboriginal women and trafficked women; lack of funding for equality test cases; and lack of funding for crisis services and shelters for victims of violence against women. Current and proposed criminal justice laws and policies are increasingly coming into conflict with peoples’ lives, resulting in the virtual inevitability of criminalization, pathologizing, homelessness, and even death of those who are most marginalized and disadvantaged by virtue of their sex, race, class, and/or disability.

Women are also often the victims of physical and sexual assault at the hands of family members, partners and even sometimes the police. Most incidents of violence against women are not reported to police, and those that are sometimes are not even recorded, often do not lead to a conviction, and rarely result in incapacitation. There are not only problems in recording and investigation, but also in court proceedings and sentencing practices, that have prevented the effective criminalization of the victimization of women (CAEFS 2006b).

There are no provinces where social assistance rates are actually adequate to support the poor. In order to survive, most people, especially poor mothers who are the sole supports of their families, are required to obtain income by means that would be considered fraudulent if social assistance authorities become aware of it. Accordingly, by creating criminally low welfare or social assistance rates, renaming it as workfare, and even placing bans on receipt of state resources, many poor people are immediately relegated to the criminalized underclass.

By creating criminally low welfare or social assistance rates, renaming it as workfare, and even placing bans on receipt of state resources, many poor people are immediately relegated to the criminalized underclass.
nence programming, along with the implicit judgment that they are in control of, and therefore responsible for, their situations, including their own criminalization. Many of us doing this work, myself included, reject and resist such notions.

In 1996, Canada decided to follow the U.S. lead when the federal government eliminated the Canada Assistance Plan and therefore the essential nature of Canadian standards of social, medical, and educational resourcing. We have now experienced the same sorts of cuts and knee-jerk, band-aid responses—all of which presume criminality and perpetuate the problems of the past, be they crime prevention, homelessness, restorative justice, or other responses.

Imagine the results if we instead decided to ensure that every prisoner learned about the history of the use of criminal law to colonize Aboriginal peoples and separate them from their land and culture, the criminalization of the indigent and homeless through laws prohibiting vagrancy and night walking, while simultaneously failing to condemn the abuse of power and force by police and prison personnel, the neglect of institutionalized persons. Imagine if we chose to reject current theories of crime and criminality and instead chose to focus on trying to prevent—and when unsuccessful punish—those who perpetrate the most harmful behaviours; those who wage war as well as those who hoard essential goods, make excess profits, irresponsibly and negligently handle toxic cargo, crimes against social harmony, economic, and/or even governmental order. What would the system look like if we prosecuted and sentenced people for lying while running for office, wrongful use of access to government power and public resources?

Too many of us spend our time vibrating between rage and despair as we strive to act in ways that will directly benefit and change the status quo for those most oppressed. Let's use that anger to fuel our action, but let's not stop there; let's also decide to remember to celebrate our resisters and revolutionary thinkers and doers.

For each of us, this picture might look a little different. In Canada, we would focus on the Aboriginal women who have taken our federal government to the United Nations and forced them to look past the rhetoric from the official reports, causing Canada to drop from no. 1 to something like no. 7 in the world ratings of the standard of living for citizens (Corbiere v. Canada; International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights). We would focus on the workers who led the Winnipeg general strike and other labour leaders who helped bring us our work weeks—and, perhaps more importantly, our weekends. We would toast the working-class feminist organizers who insisted that women and children no longer be considered the property of the men who sired or married, or “sired,” them, who insisted that violence against women and children must no longer be tolerated, while hiding those same women from the men who tried to kill them and their children. We would focus on the young people who demand that we fight globalization and capitalism; the students in Quebec who went on strike this past year to fight the increased privatization of prisons, health care and education, and corresponding cuts to public funding of these essential services (Jones); the First Nations who blockade highways and logging roads to draw attention to the rape of the land; Canada’s pledge to Aboriginal wom-

The push to criminalize the most dispossessed as the present manifestation of race, ability, class, and gender bias demands we examine our fundamental beliefs and notions of whose interests and biases are privileged.

en and women's groups who for 20 years refused to accept “never” as an answer as they demanded that 500 missing and murdered Aboriginal women in Canada did not continue to be abandoned by the criminal injustice system and the penal industrial machine; the lawyers, Anne Derrick and Rocky Jones, who were sued and censured, even by some of their professional colleagues, when they labeled the racism of the police when they strip-searched three 12-year-old girls in a Halifax-area school (CBC News) and Corinne Sparks, the African Nova Scotian judge who took judicial notice of the racism of police (R.D.S. v. The Queen).

And the many youth, men, and especially the women prisoners who refuse to succumb, who will not stand-down or ever, but instead walk with, their sisters inside; who courageously authorized the release to the media of what has now come to be known as the April 1994 incident, when women were illegally stripped and shackled when being transferred to a men’s prison, and then were held for nine months in isolation until the videotape of the degrading, humiliating, and illegal treatment they suffered was broadcast around the world (Arbour!)

It is the responsibility of each and every one of us to refuse to collude. It is always in our collective interest when the oppressed rise up to challenge their oppressors and oppression. Increasing prisoner access to their professional colleagues, when
been paying attention! Telling us we can be part of the work on their terms and as long as we mind their Ps and Qs is asinine and insulting. It is also a show of who and what they stand for, and how uninterested they truly are in assisting women. It shows that they are all about power, control, and oppression and that they will try to smash anyone who challenges them. We cannot allow this to happen. Everyone should act now to express our outrage at simple-minded bully tactic and demonstrate that although they may keep trying to keep jailing the resisters, they won’t succeed in stopping the resistance.

To quote a perhaps over-used cliché—if you are not outraged, you’re not paying attention. If we become complacent, if we accept the status quo, if we do not daily challenge our paychecks—those of us who have them—and all of our other privileges, then we should do something else. We must all act and question how future generations will judge all of us if we fail to challenge the lawlessness of government officials and corporate interests, and join the growing worldwide political, economic, and social coalition to de-institutionalize and counter the prison industrial complex.

As Lilla Watson, an Aboriginal woman in Australia has stressed, we need to work together to correct current injustice. She said,

If you have come here to help me,
you are wasting our time.
If you have come here because your liberation is bound up with mine,
then let us work together.

If our government does not think we deserve justice and equality, then we must be ungovernable.
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